

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/(783,392		02/15/2001	Sung-Soo Kim	039768/0101	4837
22423	7590	03/11/2003			
FOLEY A		ONER	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STF	REET NW		BROWN, PETER R		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3636	3636
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



<u> </u>			F					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/783,392	KIM, SUNG-SOO					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Peter R. Brown	3636					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing indicated part of the provided part of the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ob(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 E	<u> December 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· _	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-7,9,10 is/are rejected.							
·	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	olosion roquiromoni.						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and To	ademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/783,392

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 1-7,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Cave or Miyamoto.

Both Cave (fig. 1) and Miyamoto (fig. 4) teach the use of providing characters, marks or patterns on a seat belt. Note that the belt with such indicia may be readily grasped and would inherently prevent or at least lessen to some degree, slippage out of a users grasp due to nature thereof. The material of the seat belt is considered a matter of design choice, as is the type of material of the characters or marks, and the manner in which they are applied to the seat belt.

Note that in an article claim, the certain material of an element is given little patentable weight, as is the method by which a structure is made.

Applicant's arguments filed December 18, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As set forth in the above rejection, the patents to Cave and Miyamoto show "structure" substantially as claimed. While the purposes of the patterns on the belts of the prior art may be different than that of the applicant, the structure is similar, and would even appear to meet the function that is claimed, that is, provide a raised surface to the belt which would inherently help in preventing slipping when grasped by a user. Note that the location of such patterns has not been precisely set forth in the claims, and a it is the examiner's contention that a user may grip the belt at any location to facilitate putting on or taking off the belt.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,392

Art Unit: 3636

Page 3

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3636

prb

March 7, 2003